



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,230	01/22/2001	Andreas H. Hielscher	0887-4150US1	1470
27123	7590	10/21/2004	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			JUNG, WILLIAM C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	5
DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/767,230

Applicant(s)

HIELSCHER ET AL.

Examiner

William Jung

Art Unit

3737

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

((b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 9-15, 19-23, 28, 29, 37-43, 47-51, and 56-60 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Schotland* (US 5,787,888).

Claims 1, 15, 28, 29, and 43: Schotland anticipates all claimed features in claims 1, 28, and 29. Schotland discloses a method and a system for reconstructing an image of a scattering medium comprising a source directing energy into the scattering medium at source location on the scattering medium, a detector for measuring the energy emitted from the scattering medium at a detector location on the scattering medium, an internal properties of the scattering medium, means for using an equation of radiative transfer to predict and generate a function of radiative diffusion through the scattering medium, means for generating gradient of the objective function, means for modifying the properties of the scattering medium based on the gradient of the objective function, and means for generating an representation of the internal properties of the scattering medium. Schotland further includes method where properties including at least one of scattering coefficient, an absorption coefficient, an anisotropy factor, and a scattering phase function (col. 4, line – col. 5, line 15; col. 5, line 66 - col. 6, line 14; col. 6, lines 33-62; col. 7, lines 38-54).

Art Unit: 3737

Claim 56: Schotland further discloses in addition to the system described above where the imaging includes spatial distribution of optical properties of tissue (col. 5, line 66 – col. 6, line 14).

Claims 57-60: Schotland discloses in figures 1 and 7 where the system described above includes computer processor 650, which executes computer codes 730 to obtain reconstructed images. More specifically, the computer codes include algorithms to carry out the means described above.

Claims 9, 12, 37, and 40: Schotland discloses that the radiative transfer is detected as the radiative source is diffused in scattering medium such as tissue. And the diffusion process of the scattering medium therefore is inherently a time dependent function.

Claims 10, 11, 13, 14, 38, 39, 41, and 42: Schotland discloses time independent equation of radiative transfer from equation (1) (col. 8, line 6), where the equation is a function of angle, and spatial position with scattering and absorption coefficient. In addition, Schotland discloses that the scattering phase is expressed as function of the angle (equation 40).

Claims 19-22 and 47-50: Schotland discloses a computational method where the objective function is minimized, which includes minimizing at least in one-dimensional line along a direction of the gradient (col. 11, line 29 – col. 12, line 8).

Claims 23 and 51: Schotland discloses that the method above includes energy near infrared energy (col. 10, lines 51-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3737

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-8, 16-18, 24-27, 30-36, 44-46, and 52-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Schotland* as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of *Sevick-Muraca et al* (US 5,865,754).

Schotland substantially discloses all claimed features in claims 2-8, 16-18, 24-27, 30-36, 44-46, and 52-55. However, Schotland does not disclose or imply setting threshold (claims 2 and 30). In addition, Schotland does not anticipate boundary condition or iterative process to determine the predicted absorption coefficient (claims 3-8 and 31-36). Also, Schotland does not disclose comparison method and normalization to adjust the objective function of the energy.

Claims 2-8 and 30-36: Sevick-Muraca et al disclose fluorescence imaging method and system where the diffusivity of the radiative scattering is determined using threshold setting and iterative process to determine the predicted absorption coefficient (col. 4, lines 51-65; col. 6, lines 19-58; col. 9, lines 13-30).

Claims 16-18, 24-27, 44-46, and 52-55: In addition to disclosures above, Sevick-Muraca et al disclose comparison stage 240 to compare the predicted and measured energy iteratively, and normalizing the detected energy obtained as described by the Jacobian matrices shown in equations 7 and 8 (col. 9, line 13 – col. 10, line 28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Sevick-Muraca et al's teaching described above to improve method and system of device such as Schotland's.

Art Unit: 3737

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Alfano et al (US 5,931,789), *Chance* (US 5,807,263), and *Gratton et al* (US 5,213,105)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-605-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WCS
October 5, 2004


BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700